1 2 3 IN THEUNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 5 IN RE BARD IVC FILTERS No. PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION 6 AMENDED MASTER SHORT FORM COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR 7 INDIVIDUAL CLAIMS 8 Plaintiff(s) named below, for their Complaint against Defendants named below, 9 incorporate the Master Complaint for Damages in MDL 2641 by reference (Doc. 364). 10 Plaintiff(s) further show the Court as follows: 11 1. Plaintiff/Deceased Party: 12 Georgia Frierson 13 Spousal Plaintiff/Deceased Party's spouse or other party making loss of 2. 14 consortium claim: 15 N/A 16 3. Other Plaintiff and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, 17 conservator): 18 N/A Plaintiff's/Deceased Party's state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence at 19 4. 20 the time of implant: Mississippi 21

22

the time of injury: Mississippi 6. Plaintiff's current state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence: Mississippi 7. District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct fit United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made): C.R. Bard Inc. Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. 8. Basis of Jurisdiction: Diversity of Citizenship Other: a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master Complaint: Multi-District Litigation 10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is make	5-m	e 2:15-ı	-md-02641-DGC Document 10340 Filed 03/05/18 Page 2 of 5				
Mississippi 6. Plaintiff's current state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence: Mississippi 7. District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct fit United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made): □ C.R. Bard Inc. □ Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. 8. Basis of Jurisdiction: □ Diversity of Citizenship □ Other: □ a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master Complaint: Multi-District Litigation 10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is make	Plaintiff's/Deceased Party's state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence at						
6. Plaintiff's current state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence: Mississippi 7. District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct fit United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made): ☑ C.R. Bard Inc. ☑ Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. 8. Basis of Jurisdiction: ☑ Diversity of Citizenship ☐ Other: ☐ Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master Complaint: Multi-District Litigation 10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is make	the time of injury:						
Mississippi 7. District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct fi United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made): ☑ C.R. Bard Inc. ☑ Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. 8. Basis of Jurisdiction: ☑ Diversity of Citizenship ☐ Other: ☐ Other: ☐ a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master Complaint: Multi-District Litigation 10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is make	Mississippi						
7. District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct for United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made): ☐ C.R. Bard Inc. ☐ Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. 8. Basis of Jurisdiction: ☐ Diversity of Citizenship ☐ Other: ☐ Other: ☐ Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master Complaint: Multi-District Litigation 10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is make	Plaintiff's current state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence:						
United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made): ☐ C.R. Bard Inc. ☐ Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. 8. Basis of Jurisdiction: ☐ Diversity of Citizenship ☐ Other: ☐ Other: ☐ Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master Complaint: Multi-District Litigation 10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is make	Mississippi						
Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made): ☐ C.R. Bard Inc. ☐ Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. 8. Basis of Jurisdiction: ☐ Diversity of Citizenship ☐ Other: ☐ Other: ☐ Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master Complaint: Multi-District Litigation 10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is make	District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct filing:						
 ☑ C.R. Bard Inc. ☑ Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. 8. Basis of Jurisdiction: ☑ Diversity of Citizenship □ Other:	<u>Un</u>	<u>U</u>	United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi				
 ☑ Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. 8. Basis of Jurisdiction: ☑ Diversity of Citizenship □ Other: a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master Complaint: Multi-District Litigation 10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is make 	Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):						
8. Basis of Jurisdiction: Diversity of Citizenship Other: a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master Complaint: Multi-District Litigation 10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is mak	V	<u>~</u>	☑ C.R. Bard Inc.				
Diversity of Citizenship Other: a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master Complaint: Multi-District Litigation Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is mak	V	V	☑ Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.				
a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master Complaint: Multi-District Litigation Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is mak	Basis of Jurisdiction:						
a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master Complaint: Multi-District Litigation 10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is mak	V	V	☑ Diversity of Citizenship				
Complaint: Multi-District Litigation 10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is mak			Other:				
Multi-District Litigation 10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is mak	a.	a.	a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master				
10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is mak			Complaint:				
10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is mak	Multi-District Litigation						
		_					
		_					
claim (Check applicable Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s))	Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is making a						
claim (Check applicable interior vena Cavarinter(s)).	clai	c]	claim (Check applicable Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s)):				

8.

G2® Vena Cava Filter

1			G2 [®] Express	s(G2 [®] X) VenaCavaFilter
2			Eclipse [®] Ver	na Cava Filter
3			Meridian® V	ena Cava Filter
4			Denali [®] Ven	aCavaFilter
5			Other:	
6	11.	Date	of Implantatio	n as to each product:
7		June 1	16, 2004	
8				
9	12.	Count	ts in the Maste	er Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):
10			Count I:	Strict Products Liability – Manufacturing Defect
11			Count II:	Strict Products Liability – Information Defect (Failure to
12			Warn)	
13			Count III:	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect
14			Count IV:	Negligence - Design
15			Count V:	Negligence - Manufacture
16			Count VI:	Negligence – Failure to Recall/Retrofit
17		\square	Count VII:	Negligence – Failure to Warn
18		\square	Count VIII:	Negligent Misrepresentation
19		\square	Count IX:	Negligence Per Se
20			Count X:	Breach of Express Warranty
21			Count XI:	Breach of Implied Warranty
22			Count XII:	Fraudulent Misrepresentation

1		$\overline{\checkmark}$	Count XIII: Fraudulent Concealment
2		$\overline{\checkmark}$	Count XIV: Violations of Applicable Mississippi (insert state)
3			Law Prohibiting Consumer Fraud and Unfair and Deceptive Trade
4			Practices
5		$\overline{\checkmark}$	Count XV: Loss of Consortium
6			Count XVI: Wrongful Death
7			Count XVII: Survival
8		$\overline{\checkmark}$	Punitive Damages
9			Other(s): (please state the facts supporting
10			this Count in the space immediately below)
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16	13.	Jury T	rial demanded for all issues so triable?
17		☑ Yes	S
18		□ No	
19			
20			
21			
22			
			4

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 3rd day of November, 2017. 1 2 MARC J. BERN & PARTNERS LLP 3 By: /s/ Debra J. Humphrey Debra J. Humphrey One Grand Central Place 60 East 42nd St., Suite 950 New York, New York 10165 (212) 702-5000 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 I hereby certify that on this 3rd day of November, 2017, I electronically transmitted 13 the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and 14 transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing. 15 /s/ Debra J. Humphrey 16 17 18 19 20 21 22